	Case 5:07-cv-05096-HRL	Document 1	Filed 10/03/2007	Page 1 of 12
1 2 3 4	Ronald Wilcox, Esq., 176601 2160 The Alameda, First Floor, Suite San Jose, CA 95126 Tel: (408) 296-0400 Fax: (408) 296-0486 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF		200 7 OCT -	LED 3 P 2: 01 W. WIEKINGTON HIGT COURT OF CA. S. J.
5 `6 7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION			
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JASON MUSSYNSKI, Plaintiff, v. THOMAS K. BAMFORD, Defendant.) Civil	7 050 Action No.	96 HRL
15 16	D.	COMPLA EMAND FO		
18 19	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>			
1. This is an action for damages brought by consumers for Defendant's Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (hereinafter "FDC prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practice /// //				FDCPA"), which
		COMPLAIN	T - 1	

2. According to 15 U.S.C. § 1692:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- (a) There is abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors. Abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy.
- (b) Existing laws and procedures for redressing these injuries are inadequate to protect consumers.
- (c) Means other than misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices are available for the effective collection of debts.
- (d) Abusive debt collection practices are carried on to a substantial extent in interstate commerce and through means and instrumentalities of such commerce. Even where abusive debt collection practices are purely intrastate in character, they nevertheless directly affect interstate commerce.
- (e) It is the purpose of this title to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), 28 U.S.C. § 1337, and supplemental jurisdiction exists for the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Venue in this District is proper in that Defendants transact business here and the conduct complained of occurred here.

III. PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff JASON MUSSYNSKI (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is a natural person residing in Santa Clara, California.
 - 5. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 6. Defendant THOMAS K. BAMFORD (hereinafter "Defendant") is an attorney licensed to practice in the state of Texas and is engaged in the business of collecting consumer debts in California for third parties from a mailing address of 1201 Richardson Drive, Suite 201, P.O. Box 832070, Richardson, Texas 75080.

1 2

3

4

5

7

8

. 9 10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

25

24

7. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Plaintiff incurred financial obligations to Household Bank with the account numbers 13758086061000084 and 13758086061000057. The debts were primarily incurred for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
 - 9. Plaintiff experienced difficulty in repaying the debts to Household Bank.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that sometime thereafter on a date unknown to Plaintiff, the debts were sold, assigned or otherwise transferred to Arrow Financial Services, LLC.
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that sometime thereafter on a date unknown to Plaintiff, the debts were then sold, assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection from Plaintiff.
- 12. On or about October 4, 2006, Defendant sent a collection letter to Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt or collect payment on a debt. A true and accurate copy of the October 4, 2006 collection letter from Defendant to Plaintiff is attached hereto, marked **Exhibit 1**, and by this reference is incorporated herein.
 - 13. Exhibit 1 referenced account number 13758086061000057.
- 14. <u>Exhibit 1</u> was the Defendant's first written notice addressed to the Plaintiff in connection with collecting the debt.
 - 15. Exhibit 1 contained the facsimile signature of "Thomas K. Bamford" (Defendant).
- 16. <u>Exhibit 1</u> failed to provide Plaintiff with a proper validation notice required by 15 U.S.C. § 1692g.
- 17. On information and belief, Plaintiff contends **Exhibit 1** falsely threatened immediate legal action not intended within the time frame threatened in an effort to collect a debt.
- 18. <u>Exhibit 1</u> contained false, deceptive, and misleading statements in an effort to collect a debt or collect payment on a debt.

8

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

30. On or about October 24, 2006, Defendant sent another collection letter to Plaintiff in

3, and by this reference is incorporated herein.

- 31. Exhibit 3 referenced account number 13758086061000084.
- 32. Exhibit 3 contained the facsimile signature of "Thomas K. Bamford" (Defendant).

- 19. Exhibit 1 created a false sense of urgency.
- 20. Exhibit 1 threatened to sue in an improper venue (Texas) or where Defendant in not licensed (California).

Page 4 of 12

- 21. On or about October 4, 2006, Defendant sent another collection letter to Plaintiff identical to Exhibit 1 in an attempt to collect a debt or collect payment on a debt. A true and accurate copy of the October 4, 2006 collection letter from Defendant to Plaintiff is attached hereto, marked Exhibit 2, and by this reference is incorporated herein.
 - 22. Exhibit 2 referenced account number 13758086061000084.
- 23. Exhibit 2 was the Defendant's first written notice addressed to the Plaintiff in connection with collecting the debt.
 - 24. Exhibit 2 contained the facsimile signature of "Thomas K. Bamford" (Defendant).
- 25. Exhibit 2 failed to provide Plaintiff with a proper validation notice required by 15 U.S.C. § 1692g.
- 26. On information and belief, Plaintiff contends **Exhibit 2** falsely threatened immediate legal action not intended within the time frame threatened in an effort to collect a debt.
- 27. Exhibit 2 contained false, deceptive, and misleading statements in an effort to collect a debt or collect payment on a debt.
 - 28. Exhibit 2 created a false sense of urgency.
- 29. Exhibit 2 threatened to sue in an improper venue (Texas) or where Defendant in not licensed (California).
- an attempt to collect a debt or collect payment on a debt. A true and accurate copy of the October 24, 2006 collection letter from Defendant to Plaintiff is attached hereto, marked Exhibit

- 33. Exhibit 3 contradicts the validation notice by demanding immediate payment within 30-day time period, thus violating 15 U.S.C. § 1692g.
- 34. **Exhibit 3** falsely threatened immediate legal action not intended within the time frame threatened in an effort to collect a debt.
- 35. <u>Exhibit 3</u> contained false, deceptive, and misleading statements in an effort to collect a debt or collect payment on a debt.
 - 36. Exhibit 3 created a false sense of urgency.
- 37. Exhibit 3 threatened to sue in an improper venue (Texas) or where Defendant in not licensed (California).
- 38. Defendant did not file a lawsuit against Plaintiff as threatened nor did it intend to within the time frame threatened.
- 39. Defendant sent letters in the form of **Exhibits 1, 2, and 3** to more than 40 persons in California, in the one-year preceding the filing of this complaint. Plaintiff may seek to amend to add class allegations at a later date.

V. <u>FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.*)

- 40. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each paragraph alleged above.
- 41. The foregoing acts and omission of Defendant constitute violations of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.*, including but not limited to those sections below as evidenced by the following conduct by making false, deceptive, and misleading statements:
- 42. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692e(5) by falsely threatening immediate actions not intended and not taken within the time frame threatened, including legal action.
- 43. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692e(10) by using false, deceptive, and misleading statements in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 44. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692e(10) by creating a false sense of urgency.

15

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18 : 19

20 1. 21

22

24

23

25

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Please take notice that Plaintiff demand trial by jury in this action.

andfluly

10/1/07 Date

Exhibit 1

THOMAS K. BAMFORD
Attorney at Law
1201 Richardson Drive, Suite 201
Richardson, Texas 75080
PO Box 832070
Richardson, TEXAS 75083
(214) 343-6364 (800) 977-9668

OCTOBER 04TH, 2006

JASON MUSSYNSKI 2266 CAPISTRANO DR, SANTA CLARA, CA 95051-1402

RE:

Creditor:

ARROW FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC

Original Creditor:

HOUSEHOLD BANK

Current Balance:

\$691.01

Account No.:

13758086061000057

15 USC 1692e(11) Debt Collector Communication.

Dear JASON MUSSYNSKI,

The above mentioned account has been referred to this office for collection. Your payment of the balance must be paid or appropriate steps will be taken to ensure payment.

As of the date of this letter you owe \$691.01. Because of interest, late charges and other charges that may vary from day to day, the amount due on the day you pay may be greater.

Unless you, the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of this notice, dispute the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by this office.

If you, the consumer, notify us in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof is disputed, we will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against you, the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to you by our office.

Upon your request within the thirty-day period, we will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor if different from the current creditor.

"The state Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and the Federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act require that, except under unusual circumstances, collectors may not contact you before 8 a.m. or after 9 p.m. They may not harass you by using threats of violence or arrest or by using obscene language. Collectors may not use false or misleading statements or call you at work if they know or have reason to know that you may not receive personal calls at work. For the most part, collectors may not tell another person, other than your attorney or spouse, about your debt. Collectors may contact another person to confirm your location or enforce a judgment. For more information about debt collection activities, you may contact the Federal Trade Commission at 1-877-FTC-HELP or www.ftc.gov."

As required by law you are hereby notified that a negative credit report reflecting on your credit record may be submitted to a credit reporting agency if you fail to fulfill the terms of your credit obligations.

Accordingly, may I have your check in the full amount due? If I do not hear from you and the account is not paid-in-full, I will have to assume that you would prefer legal action be taken.

Very truly yours,

Thomas K. Bamførd

This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

Exhibit 2

THOMAS K. BAMFORD Attorney at Law 1201 Richardson Drive, Suite 201

Filed 10/0

Richardson, Texas 75080 PO Box 832070 Richardson, TEXAS 75083 (214) 343-6364 (800) 977-9668

OCTOBER 04TH, 2006

JASON MUSSYNSKI 2266 CAPISTRANO DR. SANTA CLARA, CA 95051-1402

Case 5:07-cv-050

RE:

Creditor:

ARROW FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC

Original Creditor:

HOUSEHOLD BANK

Current Balance:

\$1.382.56

Account No.:

13758086061000084

15 USC 1692e(11) Debt Collector Communication

Dear JASON MUSSYNSKI,

The above mentioned account has been referred to this office for collection. Your payment of the balance must be paid or appropriate steps will be taken to ensure payment.

As of the date of this letter you owe \$1,382.56. Because of interest, late charges and other charges that may vary from day to day, the amount due on the day you pay may be greater.

Unless you, the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of this notice, dispute the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by this office.

If you, the consumer, notify us in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof is disputed, we will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against you, the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to you by our office.

Upon your request within the thirty-day period, we will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor if different from the current creditor.

"The state Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and the Federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act require that, except under unusual circumstances, collectors may not contact you before 8 a.m. or after 9 p.m. They may not harass you by using threats of violence or arrest or by using obscene language. Collectors may not use false or misleading statements or call you at work if they know or have reason to know that you may not receive personal calls at work. For the most part, collectors may not tell another person, other than your attorney or spouse, about your debt. Collectors may contact another person to confirm your location or enforce a judgment. For more information about debt collection activities, you may contact the Federal Trade Commission at 1-877-FTC-HELP or www.ftc.gov."

As required by law you are hereby notified that a negative credit report reflecting on your credit record may be submitted to a credit reporting agency if you fail to fulfill the terms of your credit obligations.

Accordingly, may I have your check in the full amount due? If I do not hear from you and the account is not paid-in-full, I will have to assume that you would prefer legal action be taken.

Thomas K∖Bámford

Very truly yours,

This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

Exhibit 3

THOMAS K. BAMFORD
Attorney At Law
1201 Richardson Drive, Suite 201
Richardson, Texas 75080
PO Box 832070
Dallas, Texas 75083
(214) 343-6364 (800) 977-9668

OCTOBER 24TH, 2006

JASON MUSSYNSKI 2266 CAPISTRANO DR SANTA CLARA, CA 95051-1402

RE:

Creditor:

ARROW FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC

Original Creditor:

HOUSEHOLD BANK

Amount Owed: \$1,382.56 Interest Claimed Due: \$0

\$0.00

Current Balance:

\$1,382.56

Account No.

13758086061000084

15 USC 1692e(11) Debt Collector Communication

Dear JASON MUSSYNSKI,

Your failure to pay the above mentioned balance leaves me no alternative but to pursue other action. This action has become necessary due to your failure to respond to previous efforts to collect the above balance.

As of the date of this letter you owe \$1,382.56. Because of interest, late charges and other charges that may vary from day to day, the amount due on the day you pay may be greater.

Demand is hereby made upon you to pay the balance in full to my office within five (5) days. If you are unable to pay the balance with in five days, you must contact my office immediately for a possible alternate arrangement.

If you fail to respond to this letter, I will advise my client to pursue their legal remedies allowed for under the law, which may include possible litigation.

Very truly yours,

Thomas K. Bamford

TKB/hh

This is an attempt to collect this debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.